Vol. 7 No. 3

SINGAPORE POLYTECHNIC STUDENTS' UNION

JUN 178

20 CENTS

MC(P) 81/5/78

SBS IS STILL NOT PUBLIC TRANSPORT SERVICE!

LIM MIN

The government's recent move to convert SBS from private to 'public' ownership had caused quite a commotion in the public though unexpectedly (or rahter expectedly) without as resistance or even objection from the present owners. Some of us may be decieved to think that at last we have a public transport service and not a 'Singapore blood Suckers!'. The article is an attempt to take a closer look into this recent development in SBS which is supposedly to change its image into a truly 'Public Transport Service'.

What a piece of news!

The reasons for such a move the government are:

- 1. To increase the capital of SBS by another \$20 million.
- 2. To enable bus workers and commuters a share to SBS profit and the management of S85.

To make these so-called 'ideals' possible, the Parliament even went bo the extent of amending the CPF's Bill. People were now allowed to use the CPF's saving to invest in a guaranteed profitable SBS. (As assured by our honourable Minister of Finance, Hon Sui Sen himself.)

The news sparkled a big rush for ''fortune' by a section of the public (mainly from the higher and highermiddle income group) and left the rest of the population (the majority and basically from the lower income group)

in a nervous state of uncertainty of the consequences of this move.

这个山 多近政府把SBS由 政为「公共所有」智引 起,我们之中有

針对这个行动政府

級过 服务的SBS公司的发展 将会有广真正 些可能 各面不是 かい新かなれ 魔いるら、这位之者上 該真正成为公共交通 被党教 64 灰道 10

为了实现这样的理想 ()诸加SBS 辰有次本 NBN的利出与管理权 像巴士工女与搭字分支 至两千万元(数20至11年

Sea page 2.

桉

入羊

£

Continued from page 1.

As claimed, the increase in capital will boost SBS into a better transport service. However, this tune seemed to be too familiar to us now! We had yet to see a better service

from SBS! Besides, it is dublous (with knowledge of its nature) that SBS is sincere in their objectives or perhaps there are strings behind this move.

The question of Nature

of SBS.

The very act of letting SBS share into the stock market only enhance its profit making nature than anything else. Who would buy a share if it is not profitable? Even our government soems to think so. In their statement they encouraged the people (of course only those who can afford) to put in their life-saving to buy the shares.

Being a monopoly and the only means of transport for the population of Singapore, a profit seeking SBS would only mean an economic burden on the people. Just as essentiality like housing, education, health, water and electricity, bus transport must not be used to black mail the people.

What's behind the ownership?

Since the nature of SdS is a question which would affect the majority of the population, the governerity of the population, the governetive) should take direct interest in it. During the last bus fare hike in 1974, the government was requested to nationalise SBS in the interest of the people. They have refused, due to the lack of expertise, so claimed. But now it seems that the government has the experts and SPS hasn't (SBS official statements said: Without the Government Team of Cfficers, SBS would have collapse long ago".) So why is the government not looking into the question again?

atles the large commuting public and bus seployees a chance to be involved in the relicy makino and management, not for etting also the profit of SBS", a claim made by our Minister of Labour, Ong Pano Soon. However, simple mathematics prove the fallacies of such statements. Firstly, how many of our 2 million population can be shareholders of SBS? Seconcly, the 20 million dollars shares compared to the present capital of SBS (can be maskly)

extimated to be over 100 million dollars) is just one-fifth of the decision making. Den't you know that majority wins in a voting? Who owns the rest of the four fifths shares? Will they allow their policies and management of SBS to be affected?

Why then the 'sharing' of ownership?

from the past record, we can only speculate what's in store for us, the bearers of all consequences of the new bill. Following the announcement on ownership, there were already hints of another bus fare hike. Perhaps SBS hopes to justify the hike by making the SBS 'public owned'. Now that the transport is a 'public' one, who then can we appeal to? Besides, those who not the chare would only welcome this increase as it means more profit and they are not affected by the increment (hey have a concession you know). And with certain prople's opinions in the press together with the usual cock and hall stories about increment in mainunance, the public are forced to swallow it.

Then with some employees as 'bosses' of SBS, things can be easier done due to the disurity and conflict in interest between shareholding workers and ordinary workers.

In Conclusion.

It looks as if it's another doom day for those taking bus in Singapore. Out even if some of us decide to take to alternatives such as cycling or even jogging, the real boss of SBS still want to squeeze out profit from us. The whole issue of making SBS 'publicationed' is just a cover to blur and discort what is coming up next — another hus fare hike and perhaps shortening of the routes.

this special issue is prepared in conjunction with the Anti-Busher tike Campaign It is hoped that with this issue, more people can get to know more about the bushare hike. If you have anything to share with others ever this topic; do not hesitate to write in to us.

€,

feeder Service in Jurona

COMMITTER

A

Can you still remember what happened when SBS conducted the survey for the introduction of feeder service in Toa Payon? -- Well, they sent out tens of thousands of survey forms, but what they got back was less than 500. And out of this 500, more than ninety-five percent rejected the implementation of the feeder service.

How can you imagine what will happen if a similar survey is carried out in Jurong? Woll, at least the SES besses knew, and that is why Jurong feeder service was implemented without carrying out any survey. (I think the SBS bosses must be thinking that it is uscloss to look democratic when they can't get things done in that way. And furthermore, who cares about the people opinions; they got no way to protest or boycott the

With the implementation of feeder service, all those buses (12 services) that used to go to Jurong from various parts of our island will now have to terminate at the Jurong Interchange. As the distance is reduced slightly (from the Interchange to our work place) most of us still have to pay the same fare to reach the interchange and an extra fare 20g to 40g to travel in and out of Jurong. Not only do we have to pay more, most of us even have to spent more time especially for those who took direct bus to work in the post.

However, the feeder service will benefit some of us who used to take two trips and because of the concentration of bus services at the intorchange, it becomes easier and less time consuming. Some lucky ones may be able to save twenty cents per day but it must be stressed that they are the minority. But, somehow, the Straits Times is always able to get people who can do impossible thing to be interviewed. And this time, the interviewed one said that he is able to reduce his fare from \$1.60 to \$1.20. How the hell he does it, only he knows.

District of preder service has it advantages but the disadvantages clearly outweigh the advantages and is affecting a great number of people. And at present they are planning to do the same thing in Gedok New Town and Ang Mo Kio and lastly Toa Payon.

Conclusion

In fact the introduction of the fooder service is one of the way SBS can increase their already huge profit. If we are going to just keep quiet then we will always be the victims of the big bully 'SDS'.



书题的一建筑

表目对于限制最新已出的检客中是两分万花的包即使我们指。例答解它或自己是的对式的两人格的大多级正正的股东的发生的去 不会放松的我们标成利润查介 SPS 公共化之 超色安装一种掩饰鱼在于新移下来更大吃的每一一巴士车员加供代系统起 这消息一立来,一小楼上和中上层阶级高上,設起"发财狂",而其他大部份公众人士就处于恐慌的状态,不知其后果将如何。

据説,资本的增加将提言世生的反有服务效率,然而这种调多现在听起来却是太早了些.我们就找着照585所 請更完善的服务!此外值各怀疑的是585是否忠,于他们所指面的宗旨或者他们奉后贷人控制.

SBS的本质问题

SBS 股份进入股票市场.这用意是为了取赚更多的利润. 試问 有誰会去買一份赚不了钱的股票呢?我们的政府也这样管征查 鼓励人们(当然是那些有钻力的)查把一些的储备孤注一掷到这股票 上, 身为在地步大的公共交通服务的 SBS 垄断 3 查个交通系统 从赚钱 为车, 粉 使民不聊生. 正如人民其他的必须品一样如水,住屋, 敌育 健康, 水和电一样, 皆不能用来榨取他们的血汗 在这 财复股份的后面是什么呢?

既然 SBS的性质这个问题事关重大, 牵涉到广大人民的生活, 政府(议是优表着人民)就海汶柏手过间, 在上一次的巴士车资加锅时, 人们曾希望政府站在人民的制盖上把 SBS 国有化 可是 政府 拒絕 3. 理由是缺乏专门人员 超市现在呢?政府眼看有3 SBS 所没有的专门人员的构起(SBS曾做个官方式的报导说:"没有政府证券的官员, SBS早就垮 3) 而为什么不探讨 国有化这个问题。呢?

劳工部長王邦文这样指面 SBS 多为公共所有, 游会使更多公众人才有社会参于全个公司的行政部管理政策, 网时又转照顾到到 SBS的制调 然而简单的称权就能证明这些验的错误性 首先, 两百万人口中有多少个会成为 SBS的股东? 另一矣, 两千万的股份都现有的资本(大約一億元)比较起来, 只不过是化着区区的五份之一, 处理难股份准备,就多就更有条件, 指千公司的行政, 这一定理不懂呢? 五分之四的股份已被其他人任有, 五分之一有条件吗?

那又为什么多"合股"呢?

从从为的超越发我们从预示到这转计例实施后的一

在裕廊的内部巴士服务

还记得上回 SBS 委在大芭蕉实行短程辅助巴生之多而作的调查吗?……他们一共发出几万张调查表格,但只收回不超过五百张,在这五百张当中有九十五巴仙是不赞成有短程辅助巴士农务的.

所以如果现在裕原也有个同样的调查,大师忽忽看反应将会是怎样的究 SBS的大老板也疼得反应将会怎样,所以裕康的短程也士是未经过调查便臭行 3(SBS的大老板已经费不着什么民主不民主,反正薛会去理人民的看法与心见他们总不会 抗 议或条件不乘巴士)。

自从裕郁的 Feeder Bus Service 施行后,全部从星島各个角落去裕郁的巴士供十二辆服务,都须在裕郁巴士转换总站结束路程。

是对于大部分人民来说的却是远远超过这些好处。写为 是对于大部分人民来说的却是远远超过这些好处。写为 当局又计副在勿落新领与宏茂桥实行这种服务,而大色 茶型将会是号后一个地方实行这个措施。

事实上,施行了Tueder Service, SBS 便可以来机大捞一笔如果我们还是没有作成反应的话那我们将要承达让SBS的大老板们任意军割。

新自第5面一 些后果随着宣佈所謂的「合股」就暗示着每有个车资加份、SBS股份共 为所有可能就是作为提了车资的籍口——如今这群的交逐服务已 是公众人士所有,你还是何谁伸诉?此外那些在SBS临有股份者也 将不会反对因为这样的加份。他会赚取更多的利润而不被影响(他们 拥有优待证).借着这些人在披章上发表的忘见。提立一大堆"理由",公 人士唯有逆来恢受同时让工友的下港极也不错",缓和一下矛盾 日趋尖锐的劳资关系。

綾弟3面-



The 1974 bus-fare hike has brought about much hards ip to the general public in Singapore, especially the lower income group. As if this not enough, the SBS has again announce another bus-fare hike to be implemented on the 1st of July '78. As speculated, this will result in greater hardship on the livelihood of thousands of people who depend on bus as their only means of transportation. For many of swip travel to work, we may have to pay as much as 20¢ to 40¢ more per day or \$6 per day to \$12 per month. For those who have to change bus, it could easily add up to 60¢ per day or \$18 per month, not forgetting that the present bus-fare rate is already high enough. Students, too, have to pay an extra \$2 for their monthly season tickets.

SES REASONS
We agree that bus workers should be given better pay and working conditions. But the bus company should not use this as an excuse for increasing bus-fare. A more equitable fare structure is also unnecessary, considering the hardship it will bring to the people. The important question to consider is not whether the fare structure is equitable or not for people still have to pay more for their bus-

The buying of new buses will actually bring about more profits to the SBS. As such, it is extremely unfair to make the public pay for the capital infrastructure of the company. Investment as such should be put in by the company and its share holders who are entitled to the profits, NOT the general commuting public.

An oil price increase (if any) in the near future is not going to affect the SBS alone. It will also give rise to increase in prices of other commodities. A busfare hike now, thus, would bring about more severe difficulties to the people in times to come.

SPSU'S STAND

Singapore Polytechnic Students' Union views that the bus-fare increase on the 1st of July is unjustifiable and unnecessary, considering the adverse repercussion it will result on the people's livelihood, especially for the poorer ones who earn a mere \$100 to \$400 per month. Bus transport is an essential scrvice. Therefore it should not be of a profiteering nature, in order that it can truly be a service to the people.

PROTEST AGAINST BUSFARE INCREASE

The Union is now organising a campaign to protest against the bus-fare increase. A few surveys had already been carried out to understand more about the people's feelings towards the bus-fare hike. The overwhelming response from the public towards the bur-

vey has put the campaign into an encouraging stance.

On the 26th and 27th of June, the Union will organise student teams to collect signatories from the general public in a petition to the Ministry of Communication to appeal for the cancellation of the bus-fare hike.

We also urge all members of the public to attend a "Anti Bus-fare Hike" Forum to be held on the 28th of June at SPSU Union House, 6.30pm. Representatives from the Ministry of Communication and the SBS Managing Director will be invited to this public Forum.

Please do give us your suggestions and opinions by contacting your co-operation us at the address below. We look forward to and support.

OUR ADDRESS:

9, PRINCE EDWARD ROAD, SINGAPORE 2. TIL: 2221974

新加坡五型单级为生会公方仗

3BS生1974年提高少年党后,他书业不满足他们的整望,在今年的七月一日日始,又将有一项新的年资调务。成现了,这次的调查将加重了大多数少巴士为主委交送工具的人民的生活更超过尤其是那些收入低微的公众人士对于每天务上工的人们未说此后每天将委多付成二角至四角或每月六九至十二元的车资3,而那些需要转换巴士的人们每天就签多付成六角或每月十入六的车资就进中小型生也不例外他们的月票从原来的四大涨到六九。但我们别忘了目为的车资已经是够高的了,往后将是会怎样这是可想而知的了。

5B5公司的理由

我们同意,巴士互友直接得到良好的待遇和电量的五作环境,但巴士公司却不能必这个作为提高巴士车资的難口.

大量的改善所谓字贯的不平衡也是没有必要的比特是交给人民加重发推的所心这样的阵资调查,主委並不是在于解决所谓字衡与不等衡,而是圣人民的中党,巴士公司赚大钱。此外巴士公司还说加价是为了圣财,到新车财政新车其实是使到巴士公司能赚取更多的。这个是变成不会有一个人的支援。这个是一个人的人们交换而不 应由者这的公众人士友及担巴士公司对办人们交换而不 应由者这的公众人士友及担巴士公司对办人的交换而不 应由者这的公众人士友及担巴士公司对办人的表演的不及是多多一个人同时也造成日常用的影价。而这已是必影响于大的人民。长达的看来,现在的巴士车资加涨是然将导致人民的生活员担加重了很多。

堂生会的土场

新加坡互当岗隍堂生会认为这次的车资起价是不合理和不需签的这对于大人民的生活有着一定程度的打出特别是那些年均每月收入制100一年400的下层人们、况且巴士是人们一项的主委交送五点因此,它不应被利用来图谋利润而 面是真正做到为人民服务,

反对巴士加价

县为营生会正发动起一项"反巴士车资加价运动"、一些调查工作经已足移3 它的是是查做到3解众众人士对于巴士车资加价的反应和收集他们的意见公 众人士对于调查工作的热烈反应使任务盗项运动员到很大的敲件。

立六月十六日和州七日, 當生会将強級當生立頭以到全口各地长收集公奴

人士的签名的便是上一份待底书至交谷都清的取消效次的加价。

同时我们也希望公众人士能疆歇云苏六月廿入日介为生楼孝行的一个"反巴士年资加价"经谈会,时间是 6.知 PM, 京这部代表和 985 公司董事经理将被邀请云席这个座谈会。

多后我们惠切圣书公众人士能透过上面证明的地址和电话与我们联络和

多給我们提惠见.

我们希望得到你们的合作和支持!